

What can *Fermi* tell us about magnetars?

H. Tong¹ and R. X. Xu²

¹Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830011,
 China Email: tonghao@xao.ac.cn

²School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking
 University, Beijing 100871, China Email: r.x.xu@pku.edu.cn

Abstract.

We have analyzed the physical implications of *Fermi* observations of magnetars. Observationally, no significant detection is reported in *Fermi* observations of all magnetars. Then there are conflicts between outer gap model in the case of magnetars and *Fermi* observations. One possible explanation is that magnetars are wind braking instead of magnetic dipole braking. In the wind braking scenario, magnetars are neutron stars with strong multipole field. A strong dipole field is no longer required. A magnetism-powered pulsar wind nebula and a braking index smaller than three are the two predictions of wind braking of magnetars. Future deeper *Fermi* observations will help us make clear whether they are wind braking or magnetic dipole braking. It will also help us to distinguish between the magnetar model and the accretion model for AXPs and SGRs.

Keywords. pulsars: general, stars: magnetars, stars: neutron

1. Introduction

Anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) and soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs) are peculiar pulsar-like objects. They are commonly assumed to be magnetars, magnetism-powered neutron stars. The traditional model of magnetars is that they are young neutron stars with both strong dipole field and strong multipole field (Duncan & Thompson 1992; Thompson et al. 2002). The presence of strong dipole field ensures that they can also accelerate particles to very high energy via the outer gap mechanism. Therefore, magnetar may emit high-energy gamma-rays detectable by *Fermi*-LAT (Cheng & Zhang 2001). These high-energy gamma-rays are rotation-powered in nature (Zhang 2003). Detection of rotation-powered activities in magnetars will help bridge the gap between magnetars and normal pulsars.

Fermi-LAT has observed the whole magnetar class. No significant detection is reported (Sasmaz Mus & Gogus 2010; Abdo et al. 2010). Then there are conflicts between the outer gap model in the case of magnetars and *Fermi* observations (Tong, Song, & Xu 2010, 2011). Below we will show what can *Fermi* tell us about magnetars.

2. Implications of *Fermi* observations of magnetars

We have analyzed the implications of *Fermi* observation of AXP 4U 0142+61 (Sasmaz Mus, & Gogus 2010; Tong, Song, & Xu 2010). It is shown that there are conflicts between outer gap model in the case of AXP 4U 0142+61 and *Fermi* observations. The fallback disk model for AXPs and SGRs can still not be ruled out. In *Fermi* observations of the whole magnetar class, still no significant detection is reported. This is consistent with our previous analysis (Abdo et al. 2010; Tong, Song, & Xu 2011). The upper limit of AXP 4U 0142+62 lies already below the theoretical calculations for some parameter space (Tong,

problem posed by the low-magnetic field soft gamma-ray repeater; (3) The relation between magnetars and high magnetic field pulsars ; (4) A decreasing period derivative during magnetar outbursts etc. A magnetism-powered (instead of rotation-powered) pulsar wind nebula will be one of the consequences of wind braking. For a magnetism-powered pulsar wind nebula, we should see a correlation between the nebula luminosity and the magnetar luminosity. The extended emission around AXP 1E 1547.0-5408 may be a magnetism-powered pulsar wind nebula. Under the wind braking scenario, a braking index smaller than three is expected. More details are presented in Tong, Xu, Song, & Qiao (2012).

Considering that magnetars are wind braking (both a rotation-powered and a magnetism-powered particle wind), many aspects of magnetars can be reinterpreted. For example, the “low magnetic field” magnetar SGR 0418+5729 may actually be a normal magnetar (Rea et al. 2010; Tong & Xu 2012). It is a little special since it has a special geometry, e.g. a small magnetic inclination angle. Another example is: low luminosity magnetars are more likely to have radio emissions. The reason is that low luminosity magnetars may have similar magnetospheric structure to that of normal radio pulsars (Rea et al. 2012; Liu, Tong, & Yuan 2012).

4. Conclusions

Fermi observations of magnetars tell us that magnetars may be wind braking instead of magnetic dipole braking. Future deeper *Fermi*-LAT observations will help us make clear whether magnetars are wind braking or magnetic dipole braking. It will also help us to distinguish between the magnetar model and the accretion model for AXPs and SGRs. Therefore, deeper *Fermi*-LAT observations of the magnetar class in the future are highly recommended.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2009CB824800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11103021, 10935001, 10973002), and the John Templeton Foundation.

References

- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, L73
- Cheng, K. S., & Zhang, L. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 918
- Duncan, R. C., & Thompson, C. 1992, *ApJ*, 392, L9
- Liu, Z. Y., Tong, H., & Yuan, J. P. 2012, *arXiv:1210.2799*
- Rea, N., Esposito, P., Turolla, R., et al. 2010, *Science*, 330, 944
- Rea, N., Pons, J. A., Torres, D. F., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 748, L12
- Sasmaz Mus, S., & Gogus, E. 2010, *ApJ*, 723, 100
- Thompson, C., Lyutikov, M., & Kulkarni, S. R. 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 332
- Tong, H., Song, L. M., & Xu, R. X. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, L196
- Tong, H., Song, L. M., & Xu, R. X. 2011, *ApJ*, 738, 31
- Tong, H., & Xu, R. X. 2011, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. E*, 20, 15
- Tong, H., & Xu, R. X. 2012, *ApJ*, 757, L10
- Tong, H., Xu, R. X., Song, L. M., & Qiao, G. J. 2012, *arXiv:1205.1626*
- Zhang, B. 2003, *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, 298, 27 (astro-ph/0212016)